

Risk Assessment and Analysis Techniques

ABC Analysis – concept: a method for categorising inventory items by value and turnover. Related terms: Inventory Management, Pareto Principle. Explanation: Items are grouped into A (high-value, low-quantity), B (moderate value), and C (low-value, high-quantity). Example: A-items might be critical components costing \$10,000 each, while C-items are cheap fasteners. Practical application: prioritises risk monitoring on A-items because disruption can cause major supply-chain impact. Challenge: static thresholds may misclassify items when demand patterns shift.

Accident Probability Model – concept: statistical model estimating likelihood of accidental events. Related terms: Frequency-Severity Analysis, Monte Carlo Simulation. Explanation: Uses historical incident data to compute probability distributions for accidents such as fires or spills. Example: A warehouse records 3 fire incidents over 5 years, yielding an annual probability of 0.06. Practical application: informs insurance premium calculations and emergency-response planning. Challenge: limited data can lead to unreliable probabilities and over- or under-estimation of risk.

Activity-Based Risk Assessment (ABRA) – concept: risk assessment technique that links risks to specific business activities. Related terms: Process Mapping, Risk Register. Explanation: Each activity (e.g., order fulfilment) is examined for potential disruptions, and risk scores are assigned based on impact and likelihood. Example: In a cross-dock operation, the activity “load truck” may be vulnerable to labour shortages, receiving a high risk score. Practical application: helps allocate resources to the most vulnerable activities. Challenge: requires detailed activity documentation and may become cumbersome for large networks.

Adaptation Index – concept: metric measuring a supply chain’s ability to adjust to disruptions. Related terms: Resilience, Flexibility. Explanation: Calculated as the ratio of post-disruption performance to pre-disruption baseline, often expressed as a percentage. Example: After a port strike, a firm recovers 80% of its service level within two weeks, yielding an adaptation index of 0.8. Practical application: benchmarking tool for continuous improvement. Challenge: selecting appropriate performance indicators and time horizons.

Aggregate Risk Modeling – concept: combining multiple individual risk exposures into a single portfolio view. Related terms: Correlation, Value at Risk. Explanation: Uses statistical aggregation techniques (e.g., copulas) to capture interdependencies among risks such as supplier failure, demand surge, and currency fluctuation. Example: A retailer aggregates risks from 50 suppliers, finding that the combined 95% VaR is higher than the sum of individual VaRs due to positive correlation. Practical application: informs capital allocation for risk mitigation. Challenge: accurate estimation of correlation structures, especially under rare-event conditions.

Alternatives Analysis – concept: systematic evaluation of substitute sourcing options. Related terms:

Supplier Diversification, Cost-Benefit Analysis. Explanation: Compares alternative suppliers on criteria such as cost, lead time, quality, and geopolitical risk. Example: A electronics manufacturer assesses three Asian suppliers, finding that the lowest-cost option carries high political risk, while a slightly more expensive supplier offers better continuity. Practical application: supports strategic sourcing decisions. Challenge: data collection on intangible factors like regulatory exposure.

Bayesian Network – concept: graphical model representing probabilistic relationships among variables. Related terms: Conditional Probability, Inference Engine. Explanation: Nodes denote risk factors (e.g., supplier financial health), and directed edges capture causal influence. Example: A Bayesian network links “Supplier Bankruptcy” → “Supply Shortage” → “Production Delay”. Updating the network with new data (e.g., a credit rating downgrade) revises the probability of downstream impacts. Practical application: dynamic risk monitoring and scenario analysis. Challenge: requires expertise to structure the network and calibrate conditional probabilities.

Benchmarking Risk Metrics – concept: comparing an organization’s risk performance against industry standards. Related terms: Key Risk Indicators, Best Practice. Explanation: Metrics such as average lead-time variance or supplier failure rate are measured and contrasted with peer averages. Example: A food-processing firm finds its on-time delivery rate (92%) lags the industry benchmark (96%). Practical application: identifies gaps and drives improvement initiatives. Challenge: ensuring comparable data collection methods across firms.

Black-Swans – concept: rare, high-impact events that are difficult to predict. Related terms: Tail Risk, Extreme Value Theory. Explanation: Characterised by low probability (Bow-Tie Analysis – concept: visual risk assessment tool that maps causes and consequences of a single event. Related terms: Hazard Identification, Control Measures. Explanation: The “knot” represents the central event; left side shows threats, right side shows outcomes, with barriers placed on both sides. Example: For “Container Loss”, threats include “Port Congestion” and “Theft”, while consequences include “Revenue Loss” and “Customer Dissatisfaction”. Practical application: clarifies where controls are effective or missing. Challenge: may oversimplify complex, multi-event interactions.

Business Impact Analysis (BIA) – concept: systematic study of the effects of disruptions on business functions. Related terms: Criticality Assessment, Recovery Time Objective. Explanation: Identifies essential processes, quantifies financial loss per unit time, and determines acceptable downtime. Example: A pharmaceutical company calculates a \$2 million loss per day for halted production of a flagship drug. Practical application: prioritises recovery resources and informs continuity planning. Challenge: obtaining accurate loss-per-day figures, especially for intangible impacts.

CAPM (Capital Asset Pricing Model) for Supply-Chain Risk – concept: adaptation of CAPM to estimate the cost of risk capital for supply-chain projects. Related terms: Beta Coefficient, Risk Premium. Explanation: Calculates expected return as risk-free rate plus beta times market risk premium, where beta reflects supply-chain volatility relative to a benchmark index. Example: A logistics firm estimates a beta of 1.2, leading to a higher discount rate for risky projects. Practical application: assists investment appraisal under uncertainty. Challenge: determining an appropriate market proxy for supply-chain risk.

Cause-Effect Diagram (Fishbone) – concept: graphical tool to identify root causes of a problem. Related terms: Root-Cause Analysis, 5 Whys. Explanation: The diagram’s “spine” represents the problem, while branches denote categories such as People, Process, Equipment, Materials, and Environment. Example: For frequent stockouts, the fishbone may reveal causes like inaccurate demand forecasting and supplier lead-time variability. Practical application: guides targeted corrective actions. Challenge: may become overly detailed without clear prioritisation.

Cluster Analysis – concept: statistical technique grouping similar data points, used for risk segmentation. Related terms: K-Means, Hierarchical Clustering. Explanation: Suppliers or customers are clustered based on attributes like order frequency, geographic risk, and financial health. Example: A retailer clusters suppliers into three risk tiers, enabling differentiated monitoring intensity. Practical application: streamlines risk-management resource allocation. Challenge: choice of distance metric and number of clusters can significantly affect outcomes.

Co-Location Risk Assessment – concept: evaluation of risk concentration when multiple critical functions share the same geographic location. Related terms: Geographic Concentration, Single-Point-of-Failure. Explanation: Analyses the probability that a natural disaster, political unrest, or infrastructure failure simultaneously impacts several supply-chain nodes. Example: A company’s main warehouse and primary supplier’s plant are both situated in a flood-prone river basin, raising co-location risk. Practical application: informs decisions on facility siting and redundancy planning. Challenge: quantifying correlated exposure across different entities.

Composite Risk Score – concept: aggregated metric that combines multiple risk dimensions into a single numeric value. Related terms: Weighted Scoring, Risk Matrix. Explanation: Each risk factor (likelihood, impact, detectability) is assigned a weight; scores are summed to produce a composite rating. Example: Supplier X receives a composite risk score of 78/100, signalling high overall exposure. Practical application: facilitates ranking and prioritisation of suppliers. Challenge: subjective weighting can bias results; periodic review is essential.

Conditional Value at Risk (CVaR) – concept: risk measure that captures the average loss beyond the VaR threshold. Related terms: Tail Risk, Expected Shortfall. Explanation: While VaR indicates a loss percentile (e.g., 95%), CVaR quantifies the mean of losses exceeding that percentile, providing a more coherent risk metric. Example: A supply-chain portfolio has a 95% VaR of \$5 million; its CVaR is \$7 million, reflecting heavier tail losses. Practical application: supports capital allocation for extreme events. Challenge: requires robust loss distribution modelling, especially for low-frequency high-severity risks.

Contingency Planning – concept: development of predefined actions to respond to identified risks. Related terms: Business Continuity, Scenario Planning. Explanation: Plans include alternative suppliers, safety-stock levels, and communication protocols. Example: A retailer establishes a “dual-source” contingency that activates if the primary supplier’s lead time exceeds 30 days. Practical application: reduces downtime and mitigates financial impact during disruptions. Challenge: maintaining plan relevance as market conditions evolve.

Critical Path Method (CPM) Risk Overlay – concept: integrating risk analysis into CPM project scheduling.

Related terms: Schedule Risk Analysis, Monte Carlo Simulation. Explanation: Activity durations are modelled as probability distributions; the critical path's variability is examined to assess schedule risk. Example: Using Monte Carlo runs, a logistics network redesign shows a 20% chance of exceeding the target launch date. Practical application: informs buffer allocation and risk-aware project timelines. Challenge: requires accurate duration estimates and sufficient computational runs.

Cross-Impact Analysis – concept: technique that evaluates how the occurrence of one risk influences the probability of others. Related terms: Interdependency Mapping, Systemic Risk. Explanation: A matrix captures positive or negative impacts among risks; iterative calculations update probabilities. Example: A supplier bankruptcy increases the likelihood of "Production Delay" by 15% while reducing "Quality Issue" probability due to stricter oversight. Practical application: uncovers cascading effects and informs holistic mitigation strategies. Challenge: modelling complex feedback loops can become computationally intensive.

Cyber-Risk Heat Map – concept: visual representation of cyber threats based on likelihood and impact. Related terms: Information Security, Threat Landscape. Explanation: Risks are plotted in a matrix; colour intensity indicates severity. Example: A heat map shows ransomware attacks as high-impact, medium-likelihood, prompting investment in backup solutions. Practical application: prioritises cyber-security initiatives. Challenge: rapidly evolving threat vectors demand frequent updates.

Damage Function – concept: relationship that translates a physical event's intensity into economic loss. Related terms: Loss Distribution, Exposure Modeling. Explanation: For floods, the damage function may specify that water depth of 0.5 m causes 30% asset loss, while 1.5 m causes 80% loss. Example: A warehouse uses a damage function to estimate potential loss from storm surge. Practical application: feeds into catastrophe modelling for insurance and resilience planning. Challenge: calibrating functions for unique assets and limited empirical data.

Decision Tree Analysis – concept: structured method for evaluating alternative actions under uncertainty. Related terms: Expected Value, Branching Probabilities. Explanation: Each node represents a decision or chance event; branches carry probabilities and outcomes. Example: Choosing between "single-source" and "dual-source" strategies, a decision tree quantifies expected cost, incorporating probabilities of disruption. Practical application: aids transparent, quantitative decision-making. Challenge: tree complexity grows exponentially with numerous uncertainties.

Dependency Mapping – concept: diagrammatic identification of upstream and downstream relationships among supply-chain entities. Related terms: Network Topology, Supply-Chain Mapping. Explanation: Shows how a change or failure in one node propagates through the network. Example: Mapping reveals that a single component supplier feeds ten downstream assemblers, indicating a high-dependency node. Practical application: helps focus risk-mitigation on critical dependencies. Challenge: maintaining up-to-date maps in dynamic, multi-tier networks.

Deterministic Scenario Analysis – concept: evaluation of risk impacts using fixed input values rather than probability distributions. Related terms: What-If Analysis, Sensitivity Testing. Explanation: Analysts select specific "worst-case" or "best-case" values for variables (e.g., a 30% demand surge) and assess outcomes. Example: A retailer assumes a 25% increase in raw-material price and calculates resulting profit margin

erosion. Practical application: provides quick, understandable insights for senior management. Challenge: may overlook probabilistic nuance and underestimate tail risk.

Dynamic Risk Scoring – concept: continuously updating risk scores as new data become available. Related terms: Real-Time Monitoring, Predictive Analytics. Explanation: Scores are recalculated using streaming data feeds (e.g., supplier financial news, weather alerts). Example: A supplier's risk score jumps from 30 to 70 after a sudden credit downgrade, triggering an automatic alert. Practical application: enables proactive mitigation before disruptions materialise. Challenge: requires robust data integration and algorithmic governance.

Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Framework – concept: holistic approach integrating risk identification, assessment, and response across the entire organisation. Related terms: Risk Appetite, Governance. Explanation: Aligns supply-chain risk with corporate strategy, establishes risk owners, and defines reporting structures. Example: An ERM policy mandates quarterly risk-heat-map reviews for all critical logistics functions. Practical application: promotes consistent risk culture and decision-making. Challenge: achieving cross-functional buy-in and avoiding siloed assessments.

Event Tree Analysis – concept: forward-looking technique that maps possible outcomes from an initiating event. Related terms: Fault Tree Analysis, Probability Branching. Explanation: Begins with a trigger (e.g., "port closure") and branches into success/failure of mitigation measures, ultimately leading to final consequences. Example: An event tree for "container theft" includes branches for "security inspection success" and "insurance claim processing". Practical application: quantifies overall probability of adverse outcomes and identifies effective controls. Challenge: requires detailed data on control effectiveness.

Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) – concept: systematic method to identify potential failure modes, their causes, and effects. Related terms: Risk Priority Number, Preventive Action. Explanation: Each failure mode is scored for severity (S), occurrence (O), and detection (D); the product $S \times O \times D$ yields the RPN. Example: A packaging line's "seal failure" receives $S = 9$, $O = 4$, $D = 3$, resulting in an RPN of 108, signalling high priority. Practical application: directs resources to improve detection or reduce occurrence. Challenge: subjective scoring can lead to inconsistent RPNs across teams.

Financial Risk Modeling – concept: quantitative techniques to evaluate monetary exposures in supply chains. Related terms: Discounted Cash Flow, Currency Exposure. Explanation: Models incorporate variables such as commodity price volatility, exchange-rate fluctuations, and credit risk. Example: A firm models the impact of a 10% raw-material price increase on profit margins using a stochastic price process. Practical application: supports hedging decisions and budgeting. Challenge: capturing correlated market movements and non-linear cost structures.

Fishbone Diagram – concept: visual tool (also known as cause-and-effect diagram) to explore root causes of a problem. Related terms: Root-Cause Analysis, 5 Whys. Explanation: Central "spine" represents the problem; branches represent categories such as Methods, Machines, Materials, and People. Example: For "late deliveries", the diagram may reveal causes like "inaccurate demand forecasts" (Methods) and "insufficient driver training" (People). Practical application: structures brainstorming sessions and guides corrective-action planning. Challenge: can become overly detailed without clear prioritisation, leading to

analysis paralysis.

Forecast Error Modeling – concept: statistical analysis of the deviation between predicted and actual demand. Related terms: Mean Absolute Percentage Error, Bias. Explanation: Errors are modelled as random variables, often assumed to follow normal or log-normal distributions. Example: A retailer computes a MAD of 4% and a bias of –1%, indicating a slight under-forecast tendency. Practical application: informs safety-stock calculations and improves inventory resilience. Challenge: demand volatility and promotional spikes can distort error patterns.

Four-Quadrant Risk Matrix – concept: simple visual tool plotting risk likelihood against impact to categorise risk levels. Related terms: Heat Map, Risk Prioritisation. Explanation: The matrix divides into low-low (green), low-high (yellow), high-low (yellow), and high-high (red) quadrants. Example: A supplier’s bankruptcy risk may fall in the red quadrant (high likelihood, high impact). Practical application: quickly communicates risk posture to stakeholders. Challenge: coarse granularity may mask nuanced differences between risks.

Frequentist vs Bayesian Approaches – concept: two statistical paradigms for estimating risk parameters. Related terms: Prior Distribution, Confidence Interval. Explanation: Frequentist methods rely on long-run frequency properties; Bayesian methods combine prior beliefs with observed data to produce posterior distributions. Example: Estimating the failure probability of a critical component using a frequentist binomial confidence interval versus a Bayesian beta-binomial model. Practical application: choice influences how new information updates risk estimates. Challenge: selecting appropriate priors and communicating Bayesian results to non-technical audiences.

Geospatial Risk Mapping – concept: overlaying risk data onto geographic maps to visualise spatial patterns. Related terms: GIS, Heat Map. Explanation: Attributes such as supplier locations, natural-hazard zones, and political instability scores are plotted to identify high-risk clusters. Example: A map shows that 70% of a company’s tier-1 suppliers lie within a seismic-active belt. Practical application: guides site-selection, diversification, and insurance strategies. Challenge: data quality and resolution can limit analytical precision.

Hazard Identification (HAZID) – concept: systematic process of recognising potential sources of harm in a supply-chain context. Related terms: Risk Register, Preliminary Hazard Analysis. Explanation: Techniques include brainstorming, checklists, and historical incident review. Example: HAZID for a cold-chain logistics operation highlights hazards such as temperature excursions, vehicle accidents, and power outages. Practical application: forms the foundation for subsequent risk analysis steps. Challenge: ensuring comprehensive coverage across multiple tiers and geographies.

Hazard-Likelihood Matrix – concept: tabular tool that assigns numerical scores to hazard likelihood categories. Related terms: Risk Matrix, Scoring Scale. Explanation: Likelihood may be rated as Rare (1), Unlikely (2), Possible (3), Likely (4), or Almost Certain (5). Example: A flood in a low-lying warehouse is rated “Likely” (4). Practical application: standardises likelihood assessments across risk analysts. Challenge: subjectivity in assigning categories; calibration workshops are often needed.

Heat-Map Dashboard – concept: digital interface that visualises risk scores, trends, and alerts in real time. Related terms: Key Risk Indicators, Data Visualization. Explanation: Uses colour coding (green-yellow-red) to

highlight risk status across suppliers, regions, or processes. Example: A dashboard shows rising risk scores for a supplier due to a recent political protest, prompting a review. Practical application: enables senior managers to monitor risk health at a glance. Challenge: data latency and over-reliance on visual cues without underlying context.

Historical Loss Data Analysis – concept: examination of past loss events to derive statistical parameters for modelling. Related terms: Loss Distribution, Frequency-Severity Curve. Explanation: Aggregates incident counts and monetary losses to fit distributions (e.g., Poisson-Gamma). Example: Over ten years, a firm records 120 supply-disruption incidents with an average loss of \$150k, fitting a log-normal severity distribution. Practical application: informs insurance premium calculations and capital allocation. Challenge: incomplete reporting and changing business environments may bias results.

Hybrid Risk Modelling – concept: combining deterministic and stochastic techniques to capture both known and uncertain elements. Related terms: Monte Carlo Simulation, Scenario Analysis. Explanation: Deterministic base case parameters are supplemented with random variables for uncertain inputs. Example: A supply-chain cost model uses fixed transportation rates but stochastic demand forecasts. Practical application: balances model transparency with realistic uncertainty representation. Challenge: selecting appropriate mix of deterministic and stochastic components without over-complicating the model.

Impact-Likelihood Matrix – concept: two-dimensional grid that plots potential impact against likelihood to classify risk severity. Related terms: Risk Heat Map, Priority Setting. Explanation: Each risk is placed in a cell; red cells (high-impact/high-likelihood) demand immediate action. Example: A “port strike” risk lands in a yellow cell (moderate impact, low likelihood), suggesting monitoring rather than immediate mitigation. Practical application: assists resource allocation. Challenge: subjective placement can vary between assessors; calibration is necessary.

Incident Reporting System – concept: structured platform for capturing and tracking risk events. Related terms: Root-Cause Analysis, Corrective Action. Explanation: Users log incidents, assign severity, and trigger workflow for investigation. Example: A logistics provider’s system records a “vehicle breakdown” incident, automatically notifying the risk manager. Practical application: builds a database for trend analysis and continuous improvement. Challenge: ensuring consistent data entry and avoiding under-reporting.

Information Flow Analysis – concept: assessment of how data moves through the supply-chain network and where bottlenecks exist. Related terms: Supply-Chain Visibility, Data Latency. Explanation: Maps sources (e.g., ERP, IoT sensors) to consumers (e.g., planners, customers) and evaluates timeliness and accuracy. Example: Identifying a two-day lag in inventory updates from a downstream distributor reveals a visibility gap. Practical application: prioritises investments in real-time tracking technologies. Challenge: integrating disparate IT systems and standards.

Insurance-Linked Securities (ILS) for Supply-Chain Risk – concept: financial instruments that transfer specific supply-chain risks to capital markets. Related terms: Catastrophe Bonds, Risk Transfer. Explanation: An ILS may be structured to pay out if a defined event (e.g., a hurricane) causes losses exceeding a trigger. Example: A manufacturer issues a \$50 million catastrophe bond covering hurricane-related supply-chain disruptions. Practical application: diversifies risk financing beyond traditional insurance. Challenge:

structuring terms that align with actual loss exposures and regulatory compliance.

Key Risk Indicator (KRI) – concept: metric that provides early warning of changing risk levels. Related terms: Performance Indicator, Threshold. Explanation: KRIs are selected for relevance, measurability, and predictive power. Example: “Supplier on-time delivery rate Knock-On Effect Analysis – concept: evaluation of secondary impacts that follow an initial disruption. Related terms: Cascading Failure, Supply-Chain Ripple. Explanation: Quantifies how a primary event (e.g., raw-material shortage) propagates through downstream processes, potentially amplifying total loss. Example: A steel shortage leads to delayed car production, which in turn causes dealership inventory shortages and reduced sales. Practical application: highlights the need for buffer strategies beyond the immediate cause. Challenge: modelling complex interdependencies across multiple tiers.

Lagging Indicator Review – concept: analysis of post-event metrics that confirm risk outcomes. Related terms: After-Action Review, Performance Measurement. Explanation: Metrics such as “actual downtime” or “financial loss” are examined after an event to validate risk assessments. Example: After a port closure, a firm reviews the 3-day delay versus the predicted 2-day impact, adjusting future models accordingly. Practical application: refines risk models and improves future predictions. Challenge: data collection may be delayed, reducing timeliness of insights.

Log-Normal Distribution for Lead-Time Modeling – concept: probability distribution often used to represent positively-skewed lead-time data. Related terms: Statistical Fitting, Supply-Chain Variability. Explanation: Parameters μ and σ are estimated from historical lead-time observations; the distribution captures the long tail of extreme delays. Example: A supplier’s lead time follows a log-normal distribution with a mean of 12 days and a 95% percentile of 22 days. Practical application: informs safety-stock calculations and service-level targets. Challenge: outliers can distort parameter estimates; robust fitting techniques may be required.

Loss Expectancy (ALE & SLE) – concept: quantitative measure of expected loss from a risk, combining severity and likelihood. Related terms: Annualized Loss Expectancy, Single Loss Expectancy. Explanation: $SLE = \text{Asset Value} \times \text{Exposure Factor}$; $ALE = SLE \times \text{Annual Rate of Occurrence}$. Example: A \$500k warehouse with a 20% exposure factor yields an SLE of \$100k; if the annual occurrence rate is 0.1, $ALE = \$10k$. Practical application: prioritises mitigation investments based on expected monetary impact. Challenge: accurate estimation of exposure factors and occurrence rates is often subjective.

Monte Carlo Simulation – concept: computational technique that uses random sampling to estimate the distribution of outcomes. Related terms: Stochastic Modeling, Probability Distribution. Explanation: Repeatedly draws random values for uncertain inputs (e.g., demand, lead time) to generate a spectrum of possible results. Example: Running 10,000 iterations of a supply-chain cost model yields a 95% confidence interval of \$1.2-\$1.5 million. Practical application: quantifies uncertainty and supports risk-based decision making. Challenge: requires high-quality input distributions and sufficient computational resources.

Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA) – concept: framework for evaluating alternatives against several weighted criteria. Related terms: Analytic Hierarchy Process, Scoring Model. Explanation: Criteria may include cost, risk, sustainability, and flexibility; each is assigned a weight reflecting strategic importance.

Example: Selecting a logistics partner using MCDA yields scores that rank providers based on a 40% cost weight, 30% risk weight, and 30% sustainability weight. Practical application: balances trade-offs and enhances transparency. Challenge: weight assignment can be contentious; sensitivity analysis is advisable.

Network Centrality Analysis – concept: measurement of node importance within a supply-chain network using graph theory. Related terms: Degree Centrality, Betweenness. Explanation: Nodes with high centrality (e.g., a hub supplier) may represent critical points of failure. Example: A single component supplier exhibits high betweenness, indicating many shortest paths traverse it. Practical application: informs prioritisation of monitoring and redundancy planning. Challenge: dynamic network changes require frequent recalculation.

Operational Risk Assessment (ORA) – concept: evaluation of risks arising from internal processes, people, and systems. Related terms: Process Risk, Control Environment. Explanation: Focuses on day-to-day activities such as order processing, warehousing, and transportation. Example: An ORA identifies “manual data entry errors” as a moderate risk, recommending automation. Practical application: improves efficiency and reduces error-related losses. Challenge: distinguishing operational risk from strategic or compliance risk may be ambiguous.

Outlier Detection Techniques – concept: statistical methods to identify data points that deviate markedly from the norm. Related terms: Box-Plot, Z-Score. Explanation: Techniques such as the Tukey method or robust Mahalanobis distance flag unusual observations. Example: A sudden 150% surge in a supplier’s lead time is flagged as an outlier, prompting investigation. Practical application: early identification of emerging disruptions. Challenge: high variability in supply-chain data can generate false positives.

Pareto Analysis – concept: application of the 80/20 rule to identify the few causes that generate most problems. Related terms: ABC Analysis, Root-Cause Prioritisation. Explanation: By ranking risks or defects, the top 20% typically account for 80% of impact. Example: 15% of suppliers generate 85% of delivery delays. Practical application: directs focus to high-impact risk mitigations. Challenge: oversimplification may overlook emerging low-frequency high-impact risks.

Probability Distribution Fitting – concept: statistical process of selecting a mathematical distribution that best describes observed data. Related terms: Goodness-of-Fit Test, Maximum Likelihood Estimation. Explanation: Common choices include Normal, Log-Normal, Weibull, and Poisson. Example: Lead-time data fit a Weibull distribution with shape parameter 1.5, indicating increasing failure rate over time. Practical application: improves accuracy of stochastic models. Challenge: limited data may make discrimination between similar distributions difficult.

Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) – concept: systematic evaluation of risk using probability theory to quantify likelihood and consequence. Related terms: Fault Tree Analysis, Monte Carlo Simulation. Explanation: Combines event probabilities with impact models to generate risk metrics such as expected loss. Example: A PRA for a critical component yields a 0.02 probability of failure per year, with an associated \$2 million impact. Practical application: supports risk-based capital allocation. Challenge: requires comprehensive data and expert judgement for rare events.

Qualitative Risk Ranking – concept: non-numeric assessment that orders risks based on descriptive criteria.

Related terms: Risk Matrix, Expert Judgment. Explanation: Uses categories like High, Medium, Low for likelihood and impact, often visualised in a heat map. Example: A “political instability” risk is rated High-Impact/Medium-Likelihood, placing it in a priority zone. Practical application: quick, low-cost method suitable for early-stage assessments. Challenge: subjectivity can lead to inconsistent rankings across assessors.

Quantitative Risk Modelling (QRM) – concept: numeric approach that assigns probability distributions to risk variables and computes expected outcomes. Related terms: Monte Carlo Simulation, Stochastic Programming. Explanation: Models produce metrics such as expected loss, variance, and confidence intervals. Example: A QRM estimates a 5% chance of a \$10 million supply-chain disruption. Practical application: enables cost-benefit analysis of mitigation measures. Challenge: data intensity and model complexity may limit adoption in smaller firms.

Reliability Engineering for Supply Chains – concept: application of reliability concepts (MTBF, MTTR) to evaluate component and process dependability. Related terms: Mean Time Between Failure, Redundancy. Explanation: Calculates expected uptime and identifies failure modes that affect supply continuity. Example: A critical pump has an MTBF of 2,000 hours; its failure probability during a 500-hour production run is 0.25. Practical application: informs preventive maintenance schedules and spare-part stocking. Challenge: data collection on equipment failures may be fragmented across suppliers.

Risk Appetite Statement – concept: formal declaration of the level of risk an organisation is willing to accept to achieve objectives. Related terms: Risk Tolerance, Governance. Explanation: Articulates boundaries (e.g., no more than 5% annual revenue loss from supply-chain disruptions). Example: A consumer-goods company adopts a “low-to-moderate” risk appetite for supplier financial stability. Practical application: guides decision-makers in evaluating mitigation options. Challenge: translating high-level statements into operational thresholds.

Risk Assessment Matrix – concept: grid that combines likelihood and impact scores to produce a risk rating. Related terms: Heat Map, Prioritisation. Explanation: Each axis is typically scored 1-5; the product determines risk level (e.g., $4 \times 5 = 20 = \text{High}$). Example: A “cyber-attack” risk receives a Likelihood 3 and Impact 5, yielding a rating of 15 (Medium-High). Practical application: standardises risk evaluation across functions. Challenge: matrix may oversimplify nuanced risk profiles; supplementary analysis is often required.

Risk Control Effectiveness (RCE) – concept: metric evaluating how well a mitigation measure reduces risk exposure. Related terms: Control Gap, Residual Risk. Explanation: Calculated as $(\text{Pre-Control Risk} - \text{Post-Control Risk}) \div \text{Pre-Control Risk}$. Example: Implementing dual-sourcing reduces a supplier-failure risk from 0.3 to 0.1, yielding an RCE of 66%. Practical application: justifies investment in controls and identifies under-performing measures. Challenge: accurate measurement of pre- and post-control risk levels can be difficult.

Risk Dashboard – concept: integrated visual platform summarising key risk metrics, trends, and alerts. Related terms: Key Risk